## DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

Los Angeles District

**DISTRICT OFFICE:** 

FILE NUMBER:					2006-00799-AOA						
REGULATORY PROJECT	Aaron O. Allen, Ph.D. Date: March 20, 2006										
PROJECT REVIEW/DETE	RMINATIO	ON COM	PLETED	): In the At the		` /	(Y/N) Y		e: March	1 20, 2006	
PROJECT LOCATION INF	ORMATIO	ON:					`				
State:						Calif	ornia				
County:							Angeles				
•	al acandina	tog.	34-26-07 & -118-35-49  1.0  Unnamed drainage ditch (Santa Clara Watershed)								
Center coordinates of											
Approximate size of s	us & in aci	res):									
Name of waterway or	watershed	l <b>:</b>				Unn	amed draii	nage ditch (Sai	nta Clara V	Vatershed)	
SITE CONDITIONS:				1.740	1.40.4		1.02.20		T.,	1	
Type of aquatic resource <sup>1</sup>	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-2	25 ac	25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown	
Lake											
River											
Stream											
Dry Wash											
Mudflat											
Sandflat											
Wetlands											
Slough											
Prairie pothole											
Wet meadow				1							
Playa lake				1							
Vernal pool											
Natural pond	***			1							
Other water (identify type)	X										
	_										
<sup>1</sup> Check appropriate boxes that b jurisdictional aquatic resource a		type of iso	lated, non-	 -navigable, i	ntra-sta	ate wat	er present	and best estima	te for size (	of non-	
Juristictional aquatic resource a	ıcu.										
Migratory Bird Rule Factors <sup>1</sup> :				If Kno		If Unknown					
			_	***			Use Best Professional Judgment				
				Yes	No		redicted	Not Expected		t Able To Make	
Is or would be used as habitat fo	n hinda nu-4	noted by				t	Occur X	Occur	L	<u> Determination</u>	
Migratory Bird Treaties?	•	·									
Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines?							X				
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?					X						
Is used to irrigate crops sold in i			X								
<sup>1</sup> Check appropriate boxes that b non-navigable, intra-state aquat	est describe	potential f	or applica	bility of the	Migrat	ory Bir	d Rule to a	pply to onsite,	non-jurisdi	ctional, isolated,	
TYPE OF DETERMINATION	ON:				Prel	imina	rv	Or Appi	roved X		

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., paragraph 1 – site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 – rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 – site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite): The applicant proposes to impact two isolated man-made drainage ditches to replace existing off-ramps for Rye Canyon in two unnamed drainage ditches in Santa Clarita, Los Angeles County, California. One of the man-made drainage ditches is only 30 feet long and lacks an Ordinary Highwater Mark (OHWM) and the second ditch is approximately 500 feet long, terminating in upland area. The two ditches have no outlet culverts and do not connect to any downstream waters of the United States during small or moderate storm events (the closest tributary is a concrete channel located 600 feet to the north of the end of the larger ditch). Because one of the ditches does not exhibit an OHWM, it is not considered waters of the United States. The second ditch does exhibit an OHWM and does support some wetland and riparian habitat and, as a result, could have been considered waters of the United States prior to the SWANCC decision (ditch is approximately 0.07 acres). In terms of substantial interstate commerce connections, there is no

evidence that water from ditch is utilized for industrial purposes, recreation, fish or shellfish production that generates interstate commerce and there is also no evidence of navigation. Based on the above information, the man-made ditch with an OHWM is a non-navigable isolated water body that does not exhibit substantial interstate commerce and, therefore, is no longer subject o the Corps jurisdiction with the SWANCC Supreme Court decision.